**CEBES Review Board Checklist**

This checklist lists the major evaluation points for studies that have to be checked by the CEBES Review Board. A copy of this list should be handed in to the IBME office.

Reviewer: ……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

Title of the study: ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

Envisaged study start: ……………………………………… Envisaged study end: …………………………………..

Principal investigator (PI) of the study: ………………………………………………………………………………………………..

Contact details of PI (e-mail and phone): …………………………………………………………………………………………….

Other involved persons (including functions): ……………………………………………………………………………………..

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

Date & Signature of Reviewer: …………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

**Step 1: Was the study object of a deeper investigation, whether it should be submitted to the Cantonal Ethics Committee or not?**

  *Yes No

If yes: Describe briefly why the study did not need mandatory authorization:*

**Step 2: Which issue(s) was (were) the reason that the study was submitted to the CEBES Review Board?**

 *Is it possible that study participants experience disadvantages through their behavior in the study or through non-participation?*

 *Is the participation of minors or of persons that are non-judicious possible or planned?*

 *Is it necessary that persons participate without knowing or without having signed a consent form in advance?*

 *Are the participants deliberately deceived regarding the goals or the procedures of the study?*

 *Does the study involve asking about personal experiences, sensitive information or
 political/moral opinions?*

 *Is it possible that the study could have negative psychological effects for the participants?*

 *Could the study have negative effects for the participants in the social domain?*

 *Do the participants receive a financial incentive that is above the usual compensation?*

 *Does the study involve data protection risks?*

*Describe briefly the measures that have been taken such that critical issues have been mitigated:*

**Are the issues critical enough such that the study protocol needs a second evaluation through the CEBES Review Board?**

  *Yes No

If yes, describe briefly when the revised study protocol has been submitted and whether the critical issues have been successfully mitigated.*